

A tensor product of representations of Cuntz algebras

Katsunori Kawamura

*College of Science and Engineering Ritsumeikan University,
1-1-1 Noji Higashi, Kusatsu, Shiga 525-8577, Japan
E-mail: kawamura@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp.*

Abstract. We introduce a nonsymmetric, associative tensor product among representations of Cuntz algebras by using embeddings. We show the decomposition formulae of tensor products for permutative representations explicitly. We apply decomposition formulae to determine properties of endomorphisms.

Mathematics Subject Classifications (2000). 47L55, 81T05.

Key words. Tensor product, representations of Cuntz algebras, decomposition formula.

1 Introduction

1.1 Motivation, definition and basic facts

In algebraic quantum field theory, endomorphisms of operator algebras play important role [5]. We have shown in [8, 9, 10], the branching laws for endomorphisms of the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_N$ with respect to permutative representations by [1, 3, 4], and shown properties and classifications of endomorphisms by branching laws.

When I gave a talk on this in Kyoto, September, 2004, I. Ojima asked me a specific question of what is the tensor product of representations of $\mathcal{O}_N$. This is indeed impossible to answer in the usual sense because nobody knows the “canonical” (or suitable) embedding of $\mathcal{O}_N$ into $\mathcal{O}_N \otimes \mathcal{O}_N$. By [11], it is known that $\mathcal{O}_2 \cong \mathcal{O}_2 \otimes \mathcal{O}_2$. However it seems that the property of such map is not easy to study because we can not find concrete formulae of this isomorphism with respect to canonical generators of $\mathcal{O}_2$. Hence we give up to use such isomorphism in this study and we consider other possibility. For this purpose, we begin to review the definition of tensor product in group theory.

By the diagonal embedding φ of a group G into $G \times G$, we obtain the representation $\pi_1 \otimes_\varphi \pi_2$ of G by

$$\pi_1 \otimes_\varphi \pi_2 \equiv (\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2) \circ \varphi$$

from the tensor representation $\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2$ of $G \times G$ for representations π_1 and π_2 of G . The representation $\pi_1 \otimes_\varphi \pi_2$ is usually called the tensor product of π_1 and π_2 simply. The associativity and the distribution law with respect to the direct sum for $\otimes_\varphi$ is assured by the property of φ . Because $\pi_1 \otimes_\varphi \pi_2$ and $\pi_2 \otimes_\varphi \pi_1$ are

equivalent, this tensor product is called *symmetric* (or commutative). If there is other embedding φ' of G into $G \times G$, we can define another composition of two representations of G by φ' and its property also depends on the choice of φ' . In this sense, there may exist other tensor like structure among representations of G . According to this idea, we define a kind of tensor product of representations of Cuntz algebras as follows.

For $2 \leq N, M < \infty$, let $t_1, \dots, t_N, r_1, \dots, r_M$ and $s_1, \dots, s_{NM}$ be canonical generators of $\mathcal{O}_N$, $\mathcal{O}_M$ and $\mathcal{O}_{NM}$, respectively. Define the unital $*$ -embedding $\varphi_{N,M}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{NM}$ into $\mathcal{O}_N \otimes \mathcal{O}_M$ by

$$\varphi_{N,M}(s_{M(i-1)+j}) \equiv t_i \otimes r_j \quad (i = 1, \dots, N, j = 1, \dots, M). \quad (1.1)$$

Then the following diagram is commutative for each $N, M, L \geq 2$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \mathcal{O}_N \otimes \mathcal{O}_{ML} & & \\ & \nearrow \varphi_{N,ML} & & \searrow id_N \otimes \varphi_{M,L} & \\ \mathcal{O}_{NML} & & & & \mathcal{O}_N \otimes \mathcal{O}_M \otimes \mathcal{O}_L \\ & \searrow \varphi_{NM,L} & & \nearrow \varphi_{N,M} \otimes id_L & \\ & & \mathcal{O}_{NM} \otimes \mathcal{O}_L & & \end{array}$$

In other words, the following holds on $\mathcal{O}_{NML}$:

$$(id_N \otimes \varphi_{M,L}) \circ \varphi_{N,ML} = (\varphi_{N,M} \otimes id_L) \circ \varphi_{NM,L}. \quad (1.2)$$

We call this property the *weak co-associativity* of the family $\varphi \equiv \{\varphi_{N,M} : N, M \geq 2\}$. Define $\text{Rep}\mathcal{O}_N$ the class of all unital $*$ -representations of $\mathcal{O}_N$. For the family φ , we introduce the following operation $\otimes_\varphi$.

Definition 1.1 For $(\pi_1, \pi_2) \in \text{Rep}\mathcal{O}_N \times \text{Rep}\mathcal{O}_M$, the φ -tensor product $\pi_1 \otimes_\varphi \pi_2 \in \text{Rep}\mathcal{O}_{NM}$ of π_1 and π_2 is defined by

$$\pi_1 \otimes_\varphi \pi_2 \equiv (\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2) \circ \varphi_{N,M}. \quad (1.3)$$

For $\pi_1, \pi_2 \in \text{Rep}\mathcal{O}_N$, we denote $\pi_1 \sim \pi_2$ if π_1 and π_2 are equivalent.

Lemma 1.2 For $\pi_1, \pi'_1 \in \text{Rep}\mathcal{O}_N$, $\pi_2, \pi'_2 \in \text{Rep}\mathcal{O}_M$ and $\pi_3 \in \text{Rep}\mathcal{O}_L$, the following holds.

- (i) If $\pi_1 \sim \pi'_1$ and $\pi_2 \sim \pi'_2$, then $\pi_1 \otimes_\varphi \pi_2 \sim \pi'_1 \otimes_\varphi \pi'_2$.
- (ii) $\pi_1 \otimes_\varphi (\pi_2 \oplus \pi'_2) = \pi_1 \otimes_\varphi \pi_2 \oplus \pi_1 \otimes_\varphi \pi'_2$.
- (iii) $\pi_1 \otimes_\varphi (\pi_2 \otimes_\varphi \pi_3) = (\pi_1 \otimes_\varphi \pi_2) \otimes_\varphi \pi_3$.

Lemma 1.2 (i) and (ii) are easily verified. The statement (iii) is derived from the weak co-associativity of φ in (1.2). By Lemma 1.2 (iii), we can use a notation $\pi_1 \otimes_\varphi \dots \otimes_\varphi \pi_n$ for $\pi_1, \dots, \pi_n$.

We show a relation between the permutation of the order of the tensor product and automorphisms as follows. For $N, M \geq 2$, define the bijection $\phi_{N,M}$ from $X \equiv \{1, \dots, N\} \times \{1, \dots, M\}$ onto $\{1, \dots, NM\}$ by

$$\phi_{N,M}(a, b) \equiv M(a-1) + b \quad ((a, b) \in X). \quad (1.4)$$

Theorem 1.3 *For $N_1, \dots, N_n \geq 2$ with $n \geq 2$, let $M \equiv N_1 \cdots N_n$ and $Y_i \equiv \{1, \dots, N_i\}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. For a permutation $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, define*

$$\tau_{\sigma,i} \equiv \phi_{N_{\sigma(1)} \cdots N_{\sigma(i-1)}, N_{\sigma(i)}} \quad (i = 2, \dots, n.)$$

For $(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \mathcal{Y} \equiv Y_1 \times \cdots \times Y_n$ and $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, define $[i_1, \dots, i_n]_\sigma \in \{1, \dots, M\}$ by

$$[i_1, \dots, i_n]_\sigma \equiv \{\tau_{\sigma,n} \circ (\tau_{\sigma,n-1} \times id) \circ \cdots \circ (\tau_{\sigma,2} \times id)\}(i_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, i_{\sigma(n)}).$$

For $\sigma, \eta \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, define the automorphism $\alpha_{\sigma,\eta}$ of $\mathcal{O}_M$ by

$$\alpha_{\sigma,\eta}(s_{[i_1, \dots, i_n]_\eta}) \equiv s_{[i_1, \dots, i_n]_\sigma} \quad ((i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \mathcal{Y}).$$

Then for any $\pi_i \in \text{Rep}\mathcal{O}_{N_i}$ with $i = 1, \dots, n$, the following holds:

$$\pi_{\sigma(1)} \otimes_\varphi \cdots \otimes_\varphi \pi_{\sigma(n)} \sim (\pi_{\eta(1)} \otimes_\varphi \cdots \otimes_\varphi \pi_{\eta(n)}) \circ \alpha_{\eta,\sigma}.$$

We summarize results here. Remark that $\pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_1 \otimes_\varphi \pi_2$ in Definition 1.1 are representations of different algebras in general. This differs from the tensor product in group theory. By Lemma 1.2 (i), $\otimes_\varphi$ is well-defined on the set of equivalence classes. Especially $\pi_1 \otimes_\varphi \pi_2$ is unique up to unitary equivalence. By Lemma 1.2 (ii) and (iii), $\otimes_\varphi$ is associative and distributive with respect to the direct sum. By Theorem 1.3, $\pi_1 \otimes_\varphi \pi_2$ and $\pi_2 \otimes_\varphi \pi_1$ are not equivalent in general because $\alpha_{id,\sigma}$ is outer when $\sigma \neq id$. This is different from the situation of quantum groups with R -matrix [6]. We show concrete examples such that $\pi_1 \otimes_\varphi \pi_2 \not\sim \pi_2 \otimes_\varphi \pi_1$ in § 4.1. It seems that the definition of $\otimes_\varphi$ is artificial, but $\otimes_\varphi$ satisfies ingredients of tensor product except its commutativity. Furthermore, the decomposition formulae associated with $\otimes_\varphi$ is explicitly computed on permutative representations in the next subsection.

1.2 Tensor product of permutative representations

In this paper, any representation, embedding and endomorphism are assumed unital and $*$ -preserving.

Definition 1.4 *Let $s_1, \dots, s_N$ be canonical generators of $\mathcal{O}_N$ and let $(\mathcal{H}, \pi)$ be a representation of $\mathcal{O}_N$.*

- (i) *$(\mathcal{H}, \pi)$ is a permutative representation of $\mathcal{O}_N$ if there is a complete orthonormal basis $\{e_n\}_{n \in \Lambda}$ of $\mathcal{H}$ and a family $f = \{f_i\}_{i=1}^N$ of maps on Λ such that $\pi(s_i)e_n = e_{f_i(n)}$ for each $n \in \Lambda$ and $i = 1, \dots, N$.*

- (ii) For $J = (j_l)_{l=1}^k \in \{1, \dots, N\}^k$, $P_N(J)$ is a class of $(\mathcal{H}, \pi)$ with a unit cyclic vector $\Omega \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\pi(s_J)\Omega = \Omega$ and $\{\pi(s_{j_l} \cdots s_{j_k})\Omega\}_{l=1}^k$ is an orthonormal family in $\mathcal{H}$ where $s_J \equiv s_{j_1} \cdots s_{j_k}$.
- (iii) Let $\{1, \dots, N\}^\infty \equiv \{(i_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}} : \text{for any } n, i_n \in \{1, \dots, N\}\}$. For $J = (j_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \in \{1, \dots, N\}^\infty$, $P_N(J)$ is a class of $(\mathcal{H}, \pi)$ with a unit cyclic vector $\Omega \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\{\pi(s_{J(n)})^* \Omega : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ is an orthonormal family in $\mathcal{H}$ where $J(n) \equiv (j_1, \dots, j_n)$.

The vector Ω in both (ii) and (iii) is called the GP vector of $(\mathcal{H}, \pi)$.

A representation $(\mathcal{H}, \pi)$ is a *cycle (chain)* if there is $J \in \{1, \dots, N\}^k$ (resp. $J \in \{1, \dots, N\}^\infty$) such that $(\mathcal{H}, \pi)$ is $P_N(J)$. We review results of permutative representations [1, 3, 4, 7]. Any permutative representation is uniquely decomposed into cyclic permutative representations up to unitary equivalence. Any cyclic permutative representation is equivalent to $P_N(J)$ for a certain $J \in \{1, \dots, N\}^\# \equiv \coprod_{k \geq 1} \{1, \dots, N\}^k \sqcup \{1, \dots, N\}^\infty$. For any J , $P_N(J)$ exists uniquely up to unitary equivalence.

Theorem 1.5 *For the operation $\otimes_\varphi$ in (1.3), the following holds.*

- (i) *If both π_1 and π_2 are permutative representations, then $\pi_1 \otimes_\varphi \pi_2$ is also a permutative representation.*
- (ii) *If both π_1 and π_2 are cycles, then $\pi_1 \otimes_\varphi \pi_2$ is a direct sum of cycles.*
- (iii) *If π_1 is a permutative representation and π_2 is a chain, then $\pi_1 \otimes_\varphi \pi_2$ is a direct sum of chains.*

By Lemma 1.2 (i) and Theorem 1.5 (i), the tensor product of permutative representations is decomposed into cyclic permutative representations uniquely up to unitary equivalence. Hence, the decomposition formula of tensor products makes sense.

In order to show more detail, we prepare several notions of multiindices. Define $\{1, \dots, N\}_1^* \equiv \coprod_{k \geq 1} \{1, \dots, N\}^k$ and $\{1, \dots, N\}^* \equiv \coprod_{k \geq 0} \{1, \dots, N\}^k$, $\{1, \dots, N\}^0 \equiv \{0\}$. The *length* $|J|$ of $J \in \{1, \dots, N\}^\#$ is defined by $|J| \equiv k$ when $J \in \{1, \dots, N\}^k$. For $J_1, J_2 \in \{1, \dots, N\}^*$ and $J_3 \in \{1, \dots, N\}^\infty$, $J_1 \cup J_2 \equiv (j_1, \dots, j_k, j'_1, \dots, j'_l)$, $J_1 \cup J_3 \equiv (j_1, \dots, j_k, j''_1, j''_2, \dots)$ for $J_1 = (j_a)_{a=1}^k$, $J_2 = (j'_b)_{b=1}^l$ and $J_3 = (j''_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$. Especially, we define $J \cup (0) = (0) \cup J = J$ for convention. For $J \in \{1, \dots, N\}^*$ and $k \geq 2$, $J^k \equiv J \cup \cdots \cup J$ (k times).

For $a, b \in \mathbf{N}$, we denote the greatest common divisor and the least common multiple of a and b by $\gcd(a, b)$ and $\text{lcm}(a, b)$, respectively. We generalize $\text{lcm}(a, b)$ as $\text{lcm}(a, b) = \infty$ when $a = \infty$ or $b = \infty$. In order to describe the decomposition formula, we introduce two products among multiindices. For $K = (k_i)_{i=1}^a \in \{1, \dots, N\}^a$ and $L = (l_i)_{i=1}^a \in \{1, \dots, M\}^a$ with $1 \leq a \leq \infty$, define $K \cdot L = (x_i)_{i=1}^a \in \{1, \dots, NM\}^a$ by

$$x_i \equiv M(k_i - 1) + l_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, a).$$

For $K \in \{1, \dots, N\}^a$, $L \in \{1, \dots, M\}^b$ and $C \equiv \text{lcm}(a, b)$, define $K * L \in \{1, \dots, NM\}^C$ by

$$K * L \equiv \begin{cases} K^{m_1} \cdot L^{m_2} & (a, b < \infty, m_1 \equiv C/a, m_2 \equiv C/b), \\ K \cdot L^\infty & (a = \infty, b < \infty), \\ K \cdot L & (a = b = \infty). \end{cases}$$

When representations π_1 and π_2 are $P_N(K)$ and $P_M(L)$, we denote $\pi_1 \otimes_\varphi \pi_2$ by $P_N(K) \otimes_\varphi P_M(L)$ simply.

Theorem 1.6 *If $K \in \{1, \dots, N\}^a$ and $L = (l_j)_{j=1}^b \in \{1, \dots, M\}^b$, then*

$$P_N(K) \otimes_\varphi P_M(L) = \begin{cases} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\gcd(a,b)} P_{NM}(K * L^{(i)}) & (a, b < \infty), \\ \bigoplus_{i=1}^b P_{NM}(K * L^{(i)}) & (a = \infty, b < \infty), \\ \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} P_{NM}(K * L^{(i)}) & (a = b = \infty) \end{cases}$$

where $L^{(i)} \equiv (l_i, \dots, l_b, l_1, \dots, l_{i-1})$ when $b < \infty$ and $L^{(i)} \equiv (l'_j)_{j=1}^\infty$ for $l'_j \equiv l_{j+i}$ when $j+i \geq 1$ and $l'_j \equiv 1$ when $j+i \leq 0$.

In § 2, we prove Theorem 1.3, Theorem 1.5 and Theorem 1.6. In § 3, we define a tensor product among certain endomorphisms and states. In § 4, we show examples and an application of Theorem 1.6. In § 4.2, we give two inequivalent endomorphisms of $\mathcal{O}_4$ and show that they are irreducible and do not have inverse.

2 Proof of Theorems

For $N \geq 2$, let $\mathcal{O}_N$ be the *Cuntz algebra* [2], that is, a C^* -algebra which is universally generated by generators $s_1, \dots, s_N$ satisfying $s_i^* s_j = \delta_{ij} I$ for $i, j = 1, \dots, N$ and $s_1 s_1^* + \dots + s_N s_N^* = I$.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $t_1^{(i)}, \dots, t_N^{(i)}$ be canonical generators of $\mathcal{O}_{N_i}$ and let $(\mathcal{H}_i, \pi_i) \in \text{Rep} \mathcal{O}_{N_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. For $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, define $\Pi_\sigma \equiv \pi_{\sigma(1)} \otimes_\varphi \dots \otimes_\varphi \pi_{\sigma(n)}$, $\mathcal{K}_\sigma \equiv \mathcal{H}_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\sigma(n)}$, $D_\sigma \equiv \mathcal{O}_{N_{\sigma(1)}} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{O}_{N_{\sigma(n)}}$ and $\Phi_\sigma(s_{[i_1, \dots, i_n]_\sigma}) \equiv t_{i_{\sigma(1)}}^{(\sigma(1))} \otimes \dots \otimes t_{i_{\sigma(n)}}^{(\sigma(n))}$. For $\sigma, \eta \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, define the unitary $U_{\sigma, \eta}$ from $\mathcal{K}_\eta$ to $\mathcal{K}_\sigma$ by $U_{\sigma, \eta}(v_{\eta(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes v_{\eta(n)}) \equiv v_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes v_{\sigma(n)}$ for $v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n \in \mathcal{K}_{id}$ and define the map $\tau_{\sigma, \eta}$ from D_η to D_σ by $\tau_{\sigma, \eta}(x_{\eta(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes x_{\eta(n)}) \equiv x_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes x_{\sigma(n)}$ for $x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_n \in D_{id}$. Then $\pi_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes \pi_{\sigma(n)} = \text{Ad} U_{\sigma, \eta} \circ (\pi_{\eta(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes \pi_{\eta(n)}) \circ \tau_{\eta, \sigma}$

and $\tau_{\eta,\sigma} \circ \Phi_\sigma = \Phi_\eta \circ \alpha_{\eta,\sigma}$. Then we see that $\Pi_\sigma = (\pi_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \pi_{\sigma(n)}) \circ \Phi_\sigma = \text{Ad}U_{\sigma,\eta} \circ \Pi_\eta \circ \alpha_{\eta,\sigma}$. Hence the statement holds. $\blacksquare$

Especially, the following holds.

Corollary 2.1 *For $(\mathcal{H}_1, \pi_1) \in \text{Rep}\mathcal{O}_N$ and $(\mathcal{H}_2, \pi_2) \in \text{Rep}\mathcal{O}_M$, define $\alpha \in \text{Aut}\mathcal{O}_{NM}$ by $\alpha(s_{N(j-1)+i}) \equiv s_{M(i-1)+j}$ for $i = 1, \dots, N$ and $j = 1, \dots, M$, and define the unitary U from $\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$ to $\mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \mathcal{H}_1$ by $U(x \otimes y) \equiv y \otimes x$ for $x \in \mathcal{H}_1$ and $y \in \mathcal{H}_2$. Then the following holds:*

$$\pi_2 \otimes_\varphi \pi_1 = \text{Ad}U \circ (\pi_1 \otimes_\varphi \pi_2) \circ \alpha.$$

In this sense, the transposition of tensor product is understood by the permutation of canonical generators of $\mathcal{O}_{NM}$ up to unitary equivalence. In consequence, $\pi_1 \otimes_\varphi \pi_2 \sim \pi_2 \otimes_\varphi \pi_1$ on the fixed-point subalgebra $(\mathcal{O}_{NM})^\alpha$.

Let $(\mathcal{H}, \pi)$ be $P_N(J)$ with the GP vector Ω for $J = (j_1, \dots, j_k)$. Define $\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_k \in \mathcal{H}$ by $\Omega_i \equiv \pi(s_{j_1} \cdots s_{j_k})\Omega$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. We call $\{\Omega_i\}_{i=1}^k$ the cycle of vectors of $(\mathcal{H}, \pi)$. Let $(\mathcal{H}, \pi)$ be $P_N(J)$ with the GP vector Ω for $J = (j_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Define the family $\{\Omega_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of vectors in $\mathcal{H}$ by $\Omega_n \equiv \pi(s_{j_1} \cdots s_{j_n})^* \Omega$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We call $\{\Omega_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ the chain of vectors of $(\mathcal{H}, \pi)$. For a subset S of a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, we denote $S^\perp$ the orthogonal complement of S in $\mathcal{H}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. (i) By definition of the permutative representation and the tensor product in (1.1), statement holds.

(ii) Let $(\mathcal{H}_1, \pi_1)$ and $(\mathcal{H}_2, \pi_2)$ be $P_N(J)$ and $P_M(K)$, and let $\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_a$ and $\Omega'_1, \dots, \Omega'_b$ be their cycles of vectors. Define $\Omega_{i,j} \equiv \Omega_i \otimes \Omega'_j$ for $i = 1, \dots, a$ and $j = 1, \dots, b$. Then for any i, j , there are $c \geq 1$ and $L \in \{1, \dots, M\}^c$ such that $(\pi_1 \otimes_\varphi \pi_2)(s_L)\Omega_{i,j} = \Omega_{i,j}$. On the other hand, there exist neither cycle nor chain in both $\{\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_a\}^\perp$ and $\{\Omega'_1, \dots, \Omega'_b\}^\perp$ with respect to π_1 and π_2 , respectively. This implies that there exist neither cycle nor chain in $\{\Omega_{i,j} : i = 1, \dots, a, j = 1, \dots, b\}^\perp$. In consequence, the statement holds.

(iii) (a) Let $J \in \{1, \dots, N\}^a$ and $K \in \{1, \dots, M\}^\infty$. Let $(\mathcal{H}_1, \pi_1)$ and $(\mathcal{H}_2, \pi_2)$ be $P_N(J)$ and $P_M(K)$ and let $\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_a$ be cycles of vectors of π_1 and $\Omega'_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ be chain of vectors of π_2 . Define $\Omega_{i,j} \equiv \Omega_i \otimes \Omega'_j$ for $i = 1, \dots, a$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for any i, j , there are i', j' and $L \in \{1, \dots, M\}^c$ such that $(\pi_1 \otimes_\varphi \pi_2)(s_L^*)\Omega_{i,j} \neq \Omega_{i',j'}$. On the other hand, there exists neither cycle nor chain in $\{\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_a\}^\perp$ and $\mathcal{H}_2$ has a chain $\{\Omega'_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and does not have cycle. This implies that there is neither cycle nor chain in $(\{\Omega_i : i = 1, \dots, a\} \otimes \mathcal{H}_2)^\perp$. In consequence, the statement holds.

(b) For $J, K \in \{1, \dots, M\}^\infty$, the statement holds by the similarity of (a). $\blacksquare$

Proof of Theorem 1.6. We identify $\mathcal{O}_{NM}$ as a subalgebra $\varphi_{N,M}(\mathcal{O}_{NM})$ of $\mathcal{O}_N \otimes \mathcal{O}_M$. Let $c \equiv \gcd(a, b)$, $C \equiv \text{lcm}(a, b)$, $m_1 \equiv C/a$ and $m_2 \equiv C/b$. Let $(\mathcal{H}_1, \pi_1)$ and $(\mathcal{H}_2, \pi_2)$ be $P_N(K)$ and $P_M(L)$ with GP vectors Ω_1 and Ω_2 , respectively. Define $\Pi \equiv \pi_1 \otimes_\varphi \pi_2$ and $\mathcal{K} \equiv \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$.

Assume that $a, b < \infty$. Define

$$u_i \equiv \pi_1(t_{k_i} \cdots t_{k_a})\Omega_1, \quad v_j \equiv \pi_2(r_{l_j} \cdots r_{l_b})\Omega_2, \quad w_{i,j} \equiv u_i \otimes v_j$$

for $i = 1, \dots, a$ and $j = 1, \dots, b$. Then

$$\pi_1(t_K)u_i = u_i, \quad \pi_2(r_{L^{(i)}})v_i = v_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, b).$$

By assumption, $W \equiv \{w_{i,j} : (i,j) \in \{1, \dots, a\} \times \{1, \dots, b\}\}$ is an orthonormal family in $\mathcal{K}$ and there exists neither cycle nor chain of vectors in $W^\perp$. Therefore it is sufficient to check cycles in W with respect to Π by Theorem 1.5 (ii). Because $\Pi(s_{M(i-1)+j}) = \pi_1(t_i) \otimes \pi_2(r_j)$, $\Pi(s_{K*L^{(i)}}) = \pi_1(t_K)^{m_1} \otimes \pi_2(r_{L^{(i)}})^{m_2}$. These imply that

$$\Pi(s_{K*L^{(i)}})w_{1,i} = w_{1,i} \quad (i = 1, \dots, c).$$

Define $V_i \equiv \overline{\Pi(\mathcal{O}_{NM})w_{1,i}}$ for $i = 1, \dots, c$. For $x, y \in \mathbf{N}$, let $w_{x,y} = w_{i,j}$ if $x \equiv i \pmod{a}$ and $y \equiv j \pmod{b}$. Then $w_{1,i}, w_{2,i+1}, \dots, w_{1+C-1,i+C-1}$ belong to V_i and they are orthogonal. From this, $W \subset V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_c$. This implies that $\mathcal{K} = V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_c$ and $(V_i, \Pi|_{V_i})$ is $P_{NM}(K * L^{(i)})$. Hence the statement holds for this case.

Assume that $a = \infty$ and $b < \infty$. Define

$$u_1 \equiv \Omega_1, \quad u_i \equiv \pi_1(t_{k_1} \cdots t_{k_{i-1}})^*\Omega_1, \quad v_j \equiv \pi_2(r_{l_j} \cdots r_{l_b})\Omega_2, \quad w_{i,j} \equiv u_i \otimes v_j$$

for $i \geq 1$ and $j = 1, \dots, b$. Then $\pi_2(r_{L^{(i)}})v_i = v_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, b$. By assumption, $W \equiv \{w_{i,j} : i \geq 1, j \in \{1, \dots, b\}\}$ is an orthonormal family in $\mathcal{K}$ and there exists neither cycle nor chain in $W^\perp$. Therefore it is sufficient to check chains in W with respect to Π by Theorem 1.5 (iii). Denote $K * L^{(i)} = (d_{i,j})_{j=1}^\infty$ and $(L^{(i)})^\infty = (l'_{i,j})_{j=1}^\infty$. Then $d_{i,j} = M(k_j - 1) + l'_{i,j}$. These imply that

$$\Pi(s_{d_{i,1}} \cdots s_{d_{i,m}})^*w_{1,i} = w_{1+mb,i} \quad (i = 1, \dots, b, m \geq 1).$$

Define $V_i \equiv \overline{\Pi(\mathcal{O}_{NM})w_{1,i}}$ for $i = 1, \dots, b$. For $x, y \in \mathbf{N}$, let $w_{x,y} = w_{i,j}$ if $y \equiv j \pmod{b}$. Then $w_{n,n+i-1}$ belongs to V_i for $n \geq 1$ and they are orthogonal and $w_{n,n+i-1+bm} = w_{n,n+i-1}$ for each $n, m \geq 1$ and $i = 1, \dots, b$. From this, $W \subset V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_b$. This implies that $\mathcal{K} = V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_b$ and $(V_i, \Pi|_{V_i})$ is $P_{NM}(K * L^{(i)})$. Hence the statement holds for this case.

Assume that $a = b = \infty$. Define

$$u_1 \equiv \Omega_1, \quad u_n \equiv \pi_1(t_{k_1} \cdots t_{k_{n-1}})^*\Omega_1 \quad (n \geq 2),$$

$$v_m \equiv \pi_2(r_{l_1} \cdots r_{l_{m-1}})^*\Omega_2, \quad v_1 \equiv \Omega_2, \quad v_{-m+2} \equiv \pi_2(r_1^{m-1})\Omega_2, \quad (m \geq 2),$$

$$w_{n,m} \equiv u_n \otimes v_m \quad ((n, m) \in \mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{Z}).$$

By assumption, $W \equiv \{w_{n,m} : (n, m) \in \mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{Z}\}$ is an orthonormal family in $\mathcal{K}$ and there exists neither cycle nor chain in $W^\perp$. Therefore it is sufficient to check

chains in W with respect to Π by Theorem 1.5 (iii). Denote $K * L^{(i)} = (d_{i,j})_{j=1}^\infty$ and $(L^{(i)})^\infty = (l'_{i,j})_{j=1}^\infty$. Then $d_{i,j} = M(k_j - 1) + l'_{i,j}$. These imply that

$$\Pi(s_{d_{i,1}} \cdots s_{d_{i,m}})^* w_{1,1+i} = w_{1+m,1+i+m} \quad ((i, m) \in \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{N}).$$

Define $V_i \equiv \overline{\Pi(\mathcal{O}_{NM})} w_{1,i}$ for $i \in \mathbf{Z}$. Then $w_{n,n+i}$ belongs to V_i for $n \geq 1$ and they are orthogonal. From this, $W \subset \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} V_i$. This implies that $\mathcal{K} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} V_i$ and $(V_i, \Pi|_{V_i})$ is $P_{NM}(K * L^{(i)})$. Hence the statement holds for this case. $\blacksquare$

3 Tensor product of others

We introduce a tensor product among certain endomorphisms and among states such that it is compatible with the φ -tensor product in (1.3).

3.1 Tensor product of endomorphisms

Let $V_{N,l} \equiv (\mathbf{C}^N)^{\otimes l}$ and let $\{\varepsilon_i\}_{i=1}^N$ be the standard basis of $\mathbf{C}^N$. Define $\varepsilon_J \equiv \varepsilon_{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \varepsilon_{j_l}$ for $J = (j_1, \dots, j_l) \in \{1, \dots, N\}^l$. Define $U(N, l)$ the group of all unitaries on $V_{N,l}$ with respect to the standard inner product $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ of $V_{N,l}$. For $g \in U(N, l)$, define $g_{JK} \equiv \langle \varepsilon_J | g \varepsilon_K \rangle$ for $J, K \in \{1, \dots, N\}^l$ and define the endomorphism ψ_g of $\mathcal{O}_N$ by

$$\psi_g(s_i) \equiv u_g s_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, N) \quad (3.1)$$

where $u_g \equiv \sum_{J,K \in \{1, \dots, N\}^l} g_{JK} s_J (s_K)^*$. This ψ_g is called the *generalized permutative endomorphism* of $\mathcal{O}_N$ by g [8, 10]. Especially, if $g \in U(N, 1) \cong U(N)$, then ψ_g is the canonical $U(N)$ -action on $\mathcal{O}_N$.

Let denote $\text{End}\mathcal{O}_N$ the set of all endomorphisms of $\mathcal{O}_N$. For $\rho_1 \in \text{End}\mathcal{O}_N$, $\rho_2 \in \text{End}\mathcal{O}_M$ and $\varphi_{N,M}$ in (1.1), consider $(\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2) \circ \varphi_{N,M} \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_{NM}, \mathcal{O}_N \otimes \mathcal{O}_M)$. Remark that $\{(\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2) \circ \varphi_{N,M}\}(\mathcal{O}_{NM})$ is not a subset of $\varphi_{N,M}(\mathcal{O}_{NM})$ in general.

Proposition 3.1 (i) *If $g \in U(N, l)$ and $h \in U(M, k)$, then $\{(\psi_g \otimes \psi_h) \circ \varphi_{N,M}\}(\mathcal{O}_{NM}) \subset \varphi_{N,M}(\mathcal{O}_{NM})$.*

(ii) *For $g \in U(N, l)$ and $h \in U(M, k)$, define $\psi_g \otimes_\varphi \psi_h \in \text{End}\mathcal{O}_{NM}$ by*

$$\psi_g \otimes_\varphi \psi_h \equiv (\varphi_{N,M})^{-1} \circ (\psi_g \otimes \psi_h) \circ \varphi_{N,M}. \quad (3.2)$$

Then there exists $\hat{g} \in U(NM, m)$ for $m \equiv \max\{l, k\}$ such that $\psi_g \otimes_\varphi \psi_h = \psi_{\hat{g}}$.

(iii) *Let α_N be the canonical $U(N)$ -action on $\mathcal{O}_N$. For $g = (g_{ij}) \in U(N)$ and $h = (h_{ij}) \in U(M)$,*

$$\alpha_{N,g} \otimes_\varphi \alpha_{M,h} = \alpha_{NM, g*h}$$

*where $g * h = ((g * h)_{a,b})_{a,b=1}^{NM} \in U(NM)$ is defined by*

$$(g * h)_{M(k-1)+l, M(i-1)+j} \equiv g_{ki} h_{lj} \quad (i, k = 1, \dots, N, j, l = 1, \dots, M).$$

(iv) For $l \geq 1$, define

$$UE_{N,l} \equiv \{\psi_g : g \in U(N, l)\}.$$

For $l_1, l_2, l_3 \in \mathbf{N}$, if $\rho_1 \in UE_{N,l_1}$, $\rho_2 \in UE_{M,l_2}$ and $\rho_3 \in UE_{L,l_3}$, then $\rho_1 \otimes_\varphi (\rho_2 \otimes_\varphi \rho_3) = (\rho_1 \otimes_\varphi \rho_2) \otimes_\varphi \rho_3$.

(v) For any $\pi_1 \in \text{Rep}\mathcal{O}_N$, $\pi_2 \in \text{Rep}\mathcal{O}_M$, $\rho_1 \in UE_{N,l}$ and $\rho_2 \in UE_{M,l}$, $(\pi_1 \otimes_\varphi \pi_2) \circ (\rho_1 \otimes_\varphi \rho_2) = (\pi_1 \circ \rho_1) \otimes_\varphi (\pi_2 \circ \rho_2)$.

Proof. Let $t_1, \dots, t_N, r_1, \dots, r_M$ and $s_1, \dots, s_{NM}$ be canonical generators of $\mathcal{O}_N, \mathcal{O}_M$ and $\mathcal{O}_{NM}$, respectively. When $l = k$, we see that $u_g \otimes u_h \in \varphi_{N,M}(\mathcal{O}_{NM})$. Define $U \equiv \varphi_{N,M}^{-1}(u_g \otimes u_h)$. Then $(\psi_g \otimes_\varphi \psi_h)(s_i) = U s_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, NM$. Assume that $m = l > k$. Replace h by $\hat{h} \in U(M, l)$ defined by $\hat{h} \equiv h \otimes I$. Then $u_{\hat{h}} = u_h$ and $\psi_{\hat{h}} = \psi_h$. Hence $u_g \otimes u_h = u_g \otimes u_{\hat{h}} \in \varphi_{N,M}(\mathcal{O}_{NM})$. Define $U \equiv \varphi_{N,M}^{-1}(u_g \otimes u_{\hat{h}})$. Then

$$\varphi_{N,M}(U) = u_g \otimes u_{\hat{h}} = \sum_{J,K \in \{1, \dots, N\}^l} \sum_{P,Q \in \{1, \dots, M\}^l} g_{JK} \hat{h}_{PQ} \cdot t_J t_K^* \otimes r_P r_Q^*.$$

Define

$$\phi_{N,M}(i, j) \equiv M(i-1) + j, \quad \phi_{N,M}(J, K) \equiv (\phi_{N,M}(j_1, k_1), \dots, \phi_{N,M}(j_m, k_m))$$

for $J = (j_1, \dots, j_m) \in \{1, \dots, N\}^m$ and $K = (k_1, \dots, k_m) \in \{1, \dots, M\}^m$. Because $\varphi_{N,M}(s_{\phi_{N,M}(i,j)}) = t_i \otimes r_j$,

$$t_J t_K^* \otimes r_P r_Q^* = \varphi_{N,M}(s_{\phi_{N,M}(J,P)} s_{\phi_{N,M}(K,Q)}^*).$$

From this, we see that

$$(\psi_g \otimes_\varphi \psi_h)(s_{M(i-1)+j}) = U s_{M(i-1)+j} \quad (i = 1, \dots, N, j = 1, \dots, M). \quad (3.3)$$

By definition of U , (i) is shown.

On the other hand, define $g \times_\phi h = ((g \times_\phi h)_{JK}) \in U(NM, m)$ by

$$(g \times_\phi h)_{\phi_{N,M}(J,P), \phi_{N,M}(K,Q)} \equiv g_{JK} \hat{h}_{PQ} \quad (J, K \in \{1, \dots, NM\}^m).$$

Then we can verify that $U = u_{g \times_\phi h}$. By (3.3), U is a unitary in $\mathcal{O}_{NM}$ and $\psi_g \otimes_\varphi \psi_h = \psi_{g \times_\phi h}$. Hence (ii) is proved. As the similarity, it is shown when $k < l$. The statement of (iii) is the case $(l, k) = (1, 1)$. (iv) is verified by the weak co-associativity of φ . (v) holds by definition. $\blacksquare$

By Proposition 3.1 (ii), if ρ and ρ' are generalized permutative endomorphisms of $\mathcal{O}_N$ and $\mathcal{O}_M$, respectively, then $\rho \otimes_\varphi \rho'$ is also a generalized permutative endomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_{NM}$. Furthermore $UE_{N,l} \otimes_\varphi UE_{M,l} \subset UE_{NM,l}$ for each $l \geq 1$.

3.2 Tensor product of states

Let denote $(\mathcal{O}_N)^*$ the set of all bounded linear maps on $\mathcal{O}_N$. For $(f, g) \in (\mathcal{O}_N)^* \times (\mathcal{O}_M)^*$, define $f \otimes_\varphi g \in (\mathcal{O}_{NM})^*$ by

$$f \otimes_\varphi g \equiv (f \otimes g) \circ \varphi_{N,M}.$$

By the weak co-associativity of $\{\varphi_{N,M}\}_{N,M \geq 2}$, $(f \otimes_\varphi g) \otimes_\varphi h = f \otimes_\varphi (g \otimes_\varphi h)$ for each $f \in (\mathcal{O}_N)^*$, $g \in (\mathcal{O}_M)^*$ and $h \in (\mathcal{O}_L)^*$. Especially, if f and g are states of $\mathcal{O}_N$ and $\mathcal{O}_M$, respectively, then $f \otimes_\varphi g$ is also a state of $\mathcal{O}_{NM}$ because $\varphi_{N,M}$ is unital and $*$ -preserving. Let $(\mathcal{H}_1, \pi_1)$ and $(\mathcal{H}_2, \pi_2)$ be representations of $\mathcal{O}_N$ and $\mathcal{O}_M$, respectively. For vector states ω_1 and ω_2 of $\mathcal{O}_N$ and $\mathcal{O}_M$ defined by $\omega_1 = \langle \Omega_1 | \pi_1(\cdot) \Omega_1 \rangle$ and $\omega_2 = \langle \Omega_2 | \pi_2(\cdot) \Omega_2 \rangle$, we see that

$$\langle \Omega_1 \otimes \Omega_2 | (\pi_1 \otimes_\varphi \pi_2)(\cdot) (\Omega_1 \otimes \Omega_2) \rangle = \omega_1 \otimes_\varphi \omega_2.$$

In consequence, the φ -tensor of two vector states is also a vector state.

4 Example and application

We show examples and an application of Theorem 1.6.

4.1 Example

For any $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, M\}$,

$$P_N(i) \otimes_\varphi P_M(j) = P_{NM}(M(i-1) + j).$$

For example, when $N = M = 2$,

$$P_2(1) \otimes_\varphi P_2(1) = P_4(1), \quad P_2(1) \otimes_\varphi P_2(2) = P_4(2),$$

$$P_2(2) \otimes_\varphi P_2(1) = P_4(3), \quad P_2(2) \otimes_\varphi P_2(2) = P_4(4).$$

By Theorem 2.7 (iv) in [8], $P_2(1) \otimes_\varphi P_2(2) = P_4(2) \not\sim P_4(3) = P_2(2) \otimes_\varphi P_2(1)$. Hence $\otimes_\varphi$ among representations is not symmetric in general.

For $i, j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ and $i', j' \in \{1, \dots, M\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} P_N(ij) \otimes_\varphi P_M(i'j') &= P_{NM}(M(i-1) + i', M(j-1) + j') \\ &\quad \oplus P_{NM}(M(i-1) + j', M(j-1) + i'). \end{aligned}$$

For a representation π , we denote $\pi^{\otimes_\varphi n}$ the n -times φ -tensor product of π . By the induction method, we have the following.

$$P_2(12)^{\otimes_\varphi n} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{2^{n-1}} P_{2^n}(i, 2^n - i + 1) \quad (n \geq 1).$$

Especially, this decomposition is the irreducible decomposition and multiplicity-free. For example,

$$P_2(12)^{\otimes_\varphi 3} = P_8(18) \oplus P_8(36) \oplus P_8(27) \oplus P_8(45).$$

4.2 Application — Two endomorphisms of $\mathcal{O}_4$

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a unital C^* -algebra $\mathcal{A}$. An endomorphism ρ of $\mathcal{A}$ is *irreducible* if $\rho(\mathcal{A})' \cap \mathcal{A} = \mathbf{CI}$. Two endomorphisms ρ and ρ' are *equivalent* if there exists a unitary $u \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\text{Adu} \circ \rho = \rho'$. In algebraic quantum field theory [5], equivalence classes of irreducible endomorphisms are important. We show such examples. Let s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4 be canonical generators of $\mathcal{O}_4$.

Proposition 4.1 *Define $\rho, \bar{\rho} \in \text{End}\mathcal{O}_4$ by*

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(s_1) &\equiv s_{23,1} + s_{21,2} + s_{14,3} + s_{12,4}, & \bar{\rho}(s_1) &\equiv s_{32,1} + s_{14,2} + s_{31,3} + s_{13,4}, \\ \rho(s_2) &\equiv s_{13,1} + s_{11,2} + s_{24,3} + s_{22,4}, & \bar{\rho}(s_2) &\equiv s_{41,1} + s_{23,2} + s_{42,3} + s_{24,4}, \\ \rho(s_3) &\equiv s_{41,1} + s_{43,2} + s_{32,3} + s_{34,4}, & \bar{\rho}(s_3) &\equiv s_{12,1} + s_{34,2} + s_{11,3} + s_{33,4}, \\ \rho(s_4) &\equiv s_{31,1} + s_{33,2} + s_{42,3} + s_{44,4}, & \bar{\rho}(s_4) &\equiv s_{21,1} + s_{43,2} + s_{22,3} + s_{44,4} \end{aligned}$$

where $s_{ij,k} \equiv s_i s_j s_k^*$ for $i, j, k = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Then the following holds.

- (i) $P_4(1) \circ \rho = P_4(24)$ and $P_4(1) \circ \bar{\rho} = P_4(34)$.
- (ii) Both ρ and $\bar{\rho}$ are irreducible and not automorphisms.
- (iii) ρ and $\bar{\rho}$ are not equivalent.

Proof. Let t_1, t_2 be canonical generators of $\mathcal{O}_2$. Define $\psi_{12}, \psi_{13} \in \text{End}\mathcal{O}_2$ by

$$\psi_{12}(t_1) \equiv t_{12,1} + t_{11,2}, \quad \psi_{12}(t_2) \equiv t_2, \quad \psi_{13}(t_1) \equiv t_{21,1} + t_{12,2}, \quad \psi_{13}(t_2) \equiv t_{11,1} + t_{22,2}.$$

We can verify that $\rho = \psi_{12} \otimes_{\varphi} \psi_{13}$ and $\bar{\rho} = \psi_{13} \otimes_{\varphi} \psi_{12}$.

(i) By Table II in [8], $P_2(1) \circ \psi_{12} = P_2(12)$ and $P_2(1) \circ \psi_{13} = P_2(2)$. From these,

$$\begin{aligned} P_4(1) \circ \rho &= (P_2(1) \otimes_{\varphi} P_2(1)) \circ (\psi_{12} \otimes_{\varphi} \psi_{13}) \quad (\text{by Theorem 1.6}) \\ &= (P_2(1) \circ \psi_{12}) \otimes_{\varphi} (P_2(1) \circ \psi_{13}) \quad (\text{by Proposition 3.1 (v)}) \\ &= P_2(12) \otimes_{\varphi} P_2(2) \\ &= P_4(24) \quad (\text{by Theorem 1.6}). \end{aligned}$$

In the same way, we obtain $P_4(1) \circ \bar{\rho} = P_4(34)$.

(ii) Every $P_4(1)$, $P_4(24)$ and $P_4(34)$ are irreducible by Theorem 2.7 (iii) in [8].

Hence both ρ and $\bar{\rho}$ are irreducible by Lemma 3.1 (i) in [8].

Define $\kappa_1, \kappa_2 \in \text{Aut}\mathcal{O}_4$ by

$$(\kappa_1(s_1), \dots, \kappa_1(s_4)) \equiv (-s_2, -s_1, s_4, s_3), \quad (\kappa_2(s_1), \dots, \kappa_2(s_4)) \equiv (-s_3, s_4, -s_1, s_2).$$

Then $\kappa_1 \circ \rho = \rho$ and $\kappa_2 \circ \bar{\rho} = \bar{\rho}$. From these, $\rho(\mathcal{O}_4) \subset \mathcal{O}_4^{\kappa_1} \neq \mathcal{O}_4$ and $\bar{\rho}(\mathcal{O}_4) \subset \mathcal{O}_4^{\kappa_2} \neq \mathcal{O}_4$ where $\mathcal{O}_4^{\kappa_i} \equiv \{x \in \mathcal{O}_4 : \kappa_i(x) = x\}$ for $i = 1, 2$. Hence both ρ and $\bar{\rho}$ are not automorphisms.

(iii) By Theorem 2.7 (iv) in [8], $P_4(1) \circ \rho = P_4(24) \not\sim P_4(34) = P_4(1) \circ \bar{\rho}$. Hence ρ and $\bar{\rho}$ are not equivalent by Lemma 3.1 (ii) in [8]. $\blacksquare$

By the proof of Proposition 4.1, $\psi_{12} \otimes_{\varphi} \psi_{13} \not\sim \psi_{13} \otimes_{\varphi} \psi_{12}$. Hence $\otimes_{\varphi}$ among endomorphisms is not symmetric in general. Examples of endomorphisms of $\mathcal{O}_N$ like ρ and $\bar{\rho}$ in Proposition 4.1 are roughly classified in [9] for $N = 2, 3$.

Acknowledgement: The author would like to express my sincere thanks to Izumi Ojima for his interest in this topic.

References

- [1] Bratteli, O. and Jorgensen, P. E. T.: *Iterated function systems and permutation representations of the Cuntz algebra*, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. **139** (1999), no.663.
- [2] Cuntz, J.: *Simple C^* -algebras generated by isometries*, Comm. Math. Phys. **57** (1977) 173-185.
- [3] Davidson, K. R. and Pitts, D. R.: *The algebraic structure of non-commutative analytic Toeplitz algebras*, Math. Ann. **311** (1998) 275-303.
- [4] ———, *Invariant subspaces and hyper-reflexivity for free semigroup algebras*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **78** (1999) 401-430.
- [5] Doplicher, S. , Haag, R. and Roberts, J. E.: *Fields, observables and gauge transformations I*, Comm. Math. Phys. **13** (1969) 1-23.
- [6] Drinfel'd, V. G.: *Quantum groups*, Proceedings of the international congress of mathematicians, Berkeley, California (1987) pp 798-820.
- [7] Kawamura, K.: *Generalized permutative representations of the Cuntz algebras*, math.OA/0505101, (2005).
- [8] ———, *Polynomial endomorphisms of the Cuntz algebras arising from permutations. I —General theory—*, Lett. Math. Phys. **71** (2005) 149-158.
- [9] ———, *Classification of sectors of the Cuntz algebras by graph invariants*, math.OA/0507376,(2005).
- [10] ———, *Branching laws for polynomial endomorphisms of Cuntz algebras arising from permutations*, Lett. Math. Phys., **77** (2006) 111-126.
- [11] Rørdam, M.: *A short proof of Elliott's theorem: $\mathcal{O}_2 \otimes \mathcal{O}_2 \cong \mathcal{O}_2$* , C. R. Math. Rep. Acad. Sci. Canada **16** (1994), no. 1, 31-36.